

Moyal Nahm Equations

Linda Baker* and David Fairlie†

Department of Mathematical Sciences,
Science Laboratories,
University of Durham,
Durham, DH1 3LE, England

February 1, 2008

Abstract

Various aspects of the Nahm equations in 3 and 7 dimensions are investigated. The residues of the variables at simple poles in the 7-dimensional case form an algebra. A large class of matrix representations of this algebra is constructed. The large N limit of these equations is taken by replacing the commutators by Moyal Brackets, and a set of non trivial solutions in a generalised form of Wigner distribution functions is obtained.

*e-mail: l.m.baker@durham.ac.uk

†e-mail: david.fairlie@durham.ac.uk

1 Introduction

When the study of Self Dual gauge fields was very fashionable, there was some interest in extending the theory to higher dimensions [1][2][3]. In recent years interest in this subject has been revived [4] partly because of the occurrence of Yang Mills gauge actions in the M(atrrix) Theory approximation to string theories [5][6][7]. The present article is a study of a class of solutions to the Nahm equations [8] in 7-dimensions, which are a particular form of the Self Dual Yang-Mills equations in Euclidean 8-dimensional space, where the gauge fields A^μ , $\mu = 1 \dots 7$ depend only upon the 8th co-ordinate, τ and we work in a gauge where $A^8 = 0$. These equations take the form

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial A^1}{\partial \tau} - [A^2, A^7] - [A^6, A^3] - [A^5, A^4] &= 0 \\
\frac{\partial A^2}{\partial \tau} - [A^7, A^1] - [A^5, A^3] - [A^4, A^6] &= 0 \\
\frac{\partial A^3}{\partial \tau} - [A^1, A^6] - [A^2, A^5] - [A^4, A^7] &= 0 \\
\frac{\partial A^4}{\partial \tau} - [A^1, A^5] - [A^6, A^2] - [A^7, A^3] &= 0 \\
\frac{\partial A^5}{\partial \tau} - [A^4, A^1] - [A^3, A^2] - [A^6, A^7] &= 0 \\
\frac{\partial A^6}{\partial \tau} - [A^3, A^1] - [A^2, A^4] - [A^7, A^5] &= 0 \\
\frac{\partial A^7}{\partial \tau} - [A^1, A^2] - [A^3, A^4] - [A^5, A^6] &= 0
\end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

The sum of the squares of the left hand sides of these equations give the Lagrangian density for Yang Mills theory in 8-dimensions dependent only upon τ in the gauge $A^8 = 0$, up to divergence terms. This is just the Bogomol'nyi property of the equations (1). Alternatively, the equations may be iterated to obtain the equations of motion for the Yang Mills field. Note that when the τ dependence of A^μ is a simple pole, then the equations for the residues are just algebraic. The first objective of this paper is to initiate a study of the algebraic solution to such equations. It is known that a solution to (1) takes the form

$$A^i = \frac{-1}{2\tau} e_i \quad i = 1 \dots 7 \tag{2}$$

where the e_i form a basis for the imaginary octonions, but since these do not possess a matrix representation, as they are non-associative, one might wonder whether a matrix valued solution exists. Indeed many such solutions exist, and we determine the allowable τ dependence of some of them. We find a particular solution, modelled upon the structure constants for octonionic multiplication and a more general solution in the form of a direct sum of $SU(2)$ representations.

The second objective of this paper is to consider the infinite limit of the Nahm equations in both 3 and 7-dimensions in the limit of large N for the gauge group $SU(N)$. The motivation for this is a possible application to String Theory and Matrix Models. In this case the matrices A^μ go over to functions $X^\mu(x, p)$ on phase space (x, p) . The matrix elements may be regarded as the Fourier components of X^μ . The commutator goes over to the Moyal Bracket [9]. This we recall is the antisymmetric part of the star product, which acts on functions in phase space (x, p) . The star product of two functions $f(x, p)$ and $g(x, p)$ is defined as

$$f(x, p) \star g(x, p) = f(x, p) e^{i\lambda(\overleftarrow{\partial}_x \overrightarrow{\partial}_p - \overleftarrow{\partial}_p \overrightarrow{\partial}_x)} g(x, p) \quad (3)$$

where λ is a parameter. The Moyal Bracket is proportional to the antisymmetric part of the star product and so the Moyal bracket of two functions $f(x, p)$ and $g(x, p)$ is written as

$$\{f, g\}_{MB} = \frac{1}{2i}(f \star g - g \star f) \quad (4)$$

It is the unique one parameter associative deformation of the Poisson Bracket [10][11][12]. As $N = \frac{2\pi}{\lambda}$ passes through the odd integers the Moyal bracket $\{X^\mu, X^\nu\}_{MB}$ degenerates into an infinite direct sum of copies of the commutator $[A^\mu, A^\nu]$, where A^μ, A^ν are $SU(N)$ matrices, the large N limit of which is the Poisson Bracket

$$\{X^\mu, X^\nu\}_{PB} = \frac{\partial X^\mu}{\partial x} \frac{\partial X^\mu}{\partial p} - \frac{\partial X^\mu}{\partial p} \frac{\partial X^\nu}{\partial x}. \quad (5)$$

The square of this quantity is just the Schild [13][14] form of the String Lagrangian, giving the same classical equations of motion as does the Nambu-Goto String. Thus the large N limit of the Yang Mills Lagrangian in the strong coupling limit, where it is simply proportional to the square of the

trace of the commutator, is equivalent to the String Lagrangian [14]. This may be viewed as a primitive form of a type of Maldacena conjecture [15], relating a field theory in the large N limit to a String Theory. The phase space co-ordinates x, p are to be interpreted as co-ordinate parametrisations on the world-sheet of the string. The idea had been advanced that the target space co-ordinates X^μ (D_0 branes) may be represented by a generalised form of the Wigner function familiar from Quantum Mechanics [16][17]. We shall demonstrate solutions of the Moyal-Nahm equations (where the commutators are replaced by Moyal brackets) which take the form of a generalised Wigner function.

2 7-d Nahm equations

The equations (1) can be written more succinctly with the aid of totally anti-symmetric structure constants C_{ijk} which, in fact, define the multiplication table of octonions of different index;

$$e_i \times e_j = C_{ijk} e_k \quad (6)$$

in the same way as the ϵ_{ijk} symbol does for the quaternions. The Nahm equations may then be written in the form

$$\frac{\partial A_i}{\partial \tau} = \frac{1}{2} C_{ijk} [A_j, A_k] \quad (7)$$

with a solution for the residues B_i of the simple poles in $A_i = -\frac{1}{\tau} B_i$ given by

$$B_i = C_{ijk} \quad (8)$$

An explicit set of matrices B_i is listed in the appendix. No more general τ dependent solution of this type has been found. However, a very large class of solutions whose matrices take the form of a direct sum of representations of the $SU(2)$ algebra, but which are nevertheless not reducible, with non-trivial τ dependence does exist and we proceed to explain their construction. Let

$$B_1 = -i \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \sigma_3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B_2 = -i \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b\sigma_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & ic\sigma_3 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\begin{aligned}
B_3 &= -i \begin{pmatrix} a\sigma_3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & ic\sigma_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B_4 = -i \begin{pmatrix} ia\sigma_2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b\sigma_3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \sigma_2 \end{pmatrix}, \\
B_5 &= -i \begin{pmatrix} a\sigma_2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -ib\sigma_3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \sigma_1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B_6 = -i \begin{pmatrix} ia\sigma_3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & c\sigma_2 \end{pmatrix}, \\
B_7 &= -i \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & ib\sigma_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & c\sigma_3 \end{pmatrix}.
\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

It is somewhat surprising to find that this solution involves three arbitrary parameters, yet none of these parameters can be set to zero in such a way that the solution remains faithful and irreducible. Obviously many such solutions of direct sum form can be constructed where the σ s in each row can be replaced by representations of $SU(2)$ of arbitrary dimension. In particular, a representation of the Nahm algebra with 4×4 matrices can be found, by simply omitting the last two rows in the above matrices (9). Our next task will be to search for the presence of solutions with non-trivial τ dependence. An attempt was made to find a solution to the Nahm equations using the matrices B_i (setting $a = 1$, $b = 1$, $c = 1$). Each of these matrices was multiplied by a function of τ , $f_i(\tau)$. Therefore,

$$A_i = f_i(\tau)B_i \quad i = 1, \dots, 7 \tag{10}$$

However this ansatz is not sufficiently flexible and the previous result, $f_i(\tau) = -\frac{1}{2\tau}$ is all that is recovered. A more general ansatz for a solution is to multiply each matrix B_i by a diagonal matrix C_i given by

$$C_i = \begin{pmatrix} f_i(\tau)\mathbb{1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & g_i(\tau)\mathbb{1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & h_i(\tau)\mathbb{1} \end{pmatrix} \tag{11}$$

(This amounts to multiplying each σ matrix entry in each matrix B_i by a different τ dependent function.) It is easiest to consider each row of σ matrices separately. First, we shall look at the top row of sigma matrices and put in the τ dependence by multiplying each matrix by a function $f_i(\tau)$. Putting these 2×2 matrices into the Nahm equations gives the following set

of differential equations

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial f_2}{\partial \tau} &= 2f_1f_7 + 2f_5f_3 - 2f_4f_6 \\
\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial \tau} &= 2f_2f_7, & \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial \tau} &= 2f_2f_5, & \frac{\partial f_4}{\partial \tau} &= 2f_2f_6, \\
\frac{\partial f_5}{\partial \tau} &= 2f_2f_3, & \frac{\partial f_6}{\partial \tau} &= 2f_2f_4, & \frac{\partial f_7}{\partial \tau} &= 2f_2f_1
\end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

and the following constraints

$$f_7f_3 = f_1f_5, \quad f_6f_7 = f_1f_4, \quad f_3f_4 = f_5f_6. \tag{13}$$

Note that all of the differential equations involve f_2 , but none of the constraints do. These can be solved in terms of elliptic functions. It was found that

$$\begin{aligned}
f_6 &= K_1f_3 = K_1M_1f_1 = \frac{1}{2}K_1M_1Q_1\text{sn}(q_1\tau + d_1) \\
f_4 &= K_1f_5 = K_1M_1f_7 = \frac{-i}{2}K_1M_1Q_1\text{cn}(q_1\tau + d_1) \\
f_2 &= \frac{i}{2}q_1\text{dn}(q_1\tau + d_1)
\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

where cn, sn, dn are elliptic functions and K_1, M_1, q_1, Q_1, d_1 are all constants. The elliptic functions are related to each other by a parameter k_1 as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{sn}^2(x) + \text{cn}^2(x) &= 1 \\
\text{dn}^2(x) + k_1^2\text{sn}^2(x) &= 1
\end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

where $k_1 = \frac{Q_1}{q_1}\sqrt{1 + M_1^2(1 - K_1^2)}$.

The following set of matrices solve Nahm's Equations

$$\begin{aligned}
A_1 &= -i \begin{pmatrix} f_1\sigma_3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & g_1\sigma_3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & h_1\sigma_3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_2 = -i \begin{pmatrix} f_2\sigma_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & g_2\sigma_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & ih_2\sigma_3 \end{pmatrix}, \\
A_3 &= -i \begin{pmatrix} f_3\sigma_3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & g_3\sigma_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & ih_3\sigma_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_4 = -i \begin{pmatrix} if_4\sigma_2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & g_4\sigma_3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & h_4\sigma_2 \end{pmatrix},
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
A_5 &= -i \begin{pmatrix} f_5 \sigma_2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -ig_5 \sigma_3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & h_5 \sigma_1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_6 = -i \begin{pmatrix} if_6 \sigma_3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & g_6 \sigma_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & h_6 \sigma_2 \end{pmatrix}, \\
A_7 &= -i \begin{pmatrix} f_7 \sigma_2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & ig_7 \sigma_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & h_7 \sigma_3 \end{pmatrix}
\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

where the other τ dependent functions are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
g_5 &= K_2 g_4 = K_2 M_2 g_1 = \frac{1}{2} K_2 M_2 Q_2 \text{sn}(q_2 \tau + d_2) \\
g_7 &= K_2 g_2 = K_2 M_2 g_3 = \frac{-i}{2} K_2 M_2 Q_2 \text{cn}(q_2 \tau + d_2) \\
g_6 &= \frac{i}{2} q_2 \text{dn}(q_2 \tau + d_2)
\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
h_6 &= K_3 h_3 = K_3 M_3 h_1 = \frac{1}{2} K_3 M_3 Q_3 \text{sn}(q_3 \tau + d_3) \\
h_4 &= K_3 h_5 = K_3 M_3 h_7 = \frac{-i}{2} K_3 M_3 Q_3 \text{cn}(q_3 \tau + d_3) \\
h_2 &= \frac{i}{2} q_3 \text{dn}(q_3 \tau + d_3).
\end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

3 Moyal-Nahm Equations

Consider a field X^k ($k=0,1,2,3$) in four dimensions where X^k depends upon only one co-ordinate (in this case t) and phase space (x, p) . The gauge is fixed so X^0 is a constant. The Moyal Nahm equations in three dimensions are:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial X^1}{\partial t} &= \{X^2, X^3\}_{MB} \\
\frac{\partial X^2}{\partial t} &= \{X^3, X^1\}_{MB} \\
\frac{\partial X^3}{\partial t} &= \{X^1, X^2\}_{MB}
\end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

If the Moyal brackets were to be replaced by commutators and the functions $X^k(t, x, p)$ were replaced by matrices $X^k(t)$ then the equations would become

the Nahm equations for a self dual field. The main idea to solve these Moyal-Nahm equations is to use the following ansatz:

$$X^i = i \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi_j^\dagger(x-y, t) \epsilon^{ijk} \psi_k(x+y, t) e^{2\pi i p y / \lambda} dy \quad (20)$$

where $\psi(x, t)$ are three component wave functions. These wave functions were chosen to be of the following form:

$$\psi(x, t) = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1(x, t) \\ \psi_2(x, t) \\ \psi_3(x, t) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} f_1(t) \phi_1(x) \\ f_2(t) \phi_2(x) \\ f_3(t) \phi_3(x) \end{pmatrix} \quad (21)$$

where the $\phi_i(x)$ are orthonormal wave functions. The star product of X^j and X^k is calculated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} X^j \star X^k &= - \iint \psi_i^\dagger(x-y, t) \epsilon^{jil} \psi_l(x+y, t) e^{2\pi i p y / \lambda} \star \\ &\quad \psi_m^\dagger(x-y', t) \epsilon^{kmn} \psi_n(x+y', t) e^{2\pi i p y' / \lambda} dy dy' \\ &= - \iint \psi_i^\dagger(x-y+y', t) \epsilon^{jil} \psi_l(x+y+y', t) e^{2\pi i p y / \lambda} \\ &\quad \psi_m^\dagger(x-y'-y, t) \epsilon^{kmn} \psi_n(x+y'-y, t) e^{2\pi i p y' / \lambda} dy dy' \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \int \psi_m^\dagger(x-y, t) \epsilon^{kmn} Z(t) \epsilon^{jil} \psi_l(x+y, t) e^{2\pi i p y / \lambda} dy \quad (22) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \int \phi_m^\dagger(x-y) f_{ms}^\dagger(t) \epsilon^{ksn} Z(t) \epsilon^{jir} f_{rl}(t) \phi_l(x+y) e^{2\pi i p y / \lambda} dy \end{aligned}$$

where orthogonality of the $\phi_k(x)$ is assumed to be of the form

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi_j^\dagger(x) \phi_k(x) dx = \delta_{jk} \quad (23)$$

and

$$Z(t) = f^\dagger f \quad \text{where } f = \begin{pmatrix} f_1(t) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & f_2(t) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & f_3(t) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (24)$$

The partial derivative $\frac{\partial X^i}{\partial t}$ can be written as

$$\frac{\partial X^i}{\partial t} = i \int \phi_j^\dagger(x-y) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (f^\dagger(t) \epsilon^{ijk} f(t)) \phi_k(x+y) e^{2\pi i p y / \lambda} dy. \quad (25)$$

By putting these into the Moyal-Nahm equations one obtains three matrix equations of the form

$$i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (f^\dagger(t) \epsilon^1 f(t)) = \frac{-1}{4i} (f^\dagger(t) \epsilon^3 Z(t) \epsilon^2 f(t) - f^\dagger(t) \epsilon^2 Z(t) \epsilon^3 f(t)) \quad (26)$$

where ϵ^i is a 3 x 3 matrix with jk^{th} entry ϵ^{ijk} . Equating the entries in the matrices gives differential equations of the form

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (f_2^* f_3) &= -\frac{1}{4} |f_1|^2 (f_2^* f_3) \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (f_3^* f_2) &= -\frac{1}{4} |f_1|^2 (f_3^* f_2) \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

and cycle combinations of these. These can be used to create the following set of three differential equations.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (|f_2|^2 |f_3|^2) &= -\frac{1}{2} |f_1|^2 |f_2|^2 |f_3|^2 \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (|f_3|^2 |f_1|^2) &= -\frac{1}{2} |f_1|^2 |f_2|^2 |f_3|^2 \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (|f_1|^2 |f_2|^2) &= -\frac{1}{2} |f_1|^2 |f_2|^2 |f_3|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

Note that for each of the above, the right hand side of the equations is always the same.

3.1 Simplest Solution

The simplest solution is to set all the f_i equal to each other. This gives the solution

$$|f_1|^2 = |f_2|^2 = |f_3|^2 = \frac{4}{t+K} \quad (29)$$

so that

$$f_1(t) = f_2(t) = f_3(t) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{t+K}} \quad (30)$$

where K is an arbitrary constant.

3.2 Another Simple Solution

By setting two of the f_i equal to each other then a solution in terms of the hyperbolic functions can be found.

$$\begin{aligned} |f_1|^2 &= |f_2|^2 = 4q \coth(qt + K) \\ |f_3|^2 &= 8q \operatorname{csch}(2qt + 2K) \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(t) &= f_2(t) = 2\sqrt{q \coth(qt + K)} \\ f_3(t) &= 2\sqrt{2q \operatorname{csch}(2qt + 2K)} \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

where K and q are both real constants.

3.3 General Solution

However, ideally we want a general solution to these equations. In this case the solutions are written in terms of elliptic functions sn , cn and dn . The most general solution was found to be:

$$\begin{aligned} |f_1|^2 &= 4qk \operatorname{sn}(qt + c) \\ |f_2|^2 &= 2qk \operatorname{sn}(qt + c) + \frac{2q(\operatorname{dn}(qt + c)\operatorname{cn}(qt + c) + 1)}{\operatorname{sn}(qt + c)} \\ |f_3|^2 &= 2qk \operatorname{sn}(qt + c) + \frac{2q(\operatorname{dn}(qt + c)\operatorname{cn}(qt + c) - 1)}{\operatorname{sn}(qt + c)} \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

k , q , and c are all constants but may have to be carefully chosen in order to ensure that all the $|f_i|^2$ are positive. k depends on the elliptic functions.

A more aesthetically pleasing form of solution is as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} |f_1|^2 &= 4qk^2 \frac{\operatorname{sn}(qt + c)\operatorname{cn}(qt + c)}{\operatorname{dn}(qt + c)} \\ |f_2|^2 &= -4q \frac{\operatorname{cn}(qt + c)\operatorname{dn}(qt + c)}{\operatorname{sn}(qt + c)} \\ |f_3|^2 &= 4q \frac{\operatorname{dn}(qt + c)\operatorname{sn}(qt + c)}{\operatorname{cn}(qt + c)} \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

q, c and k are all constants. Again, k depends on the elliptic functions.

4 7D Moyal Equations

The Moyal Nahm equations in seven dimensions are:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial X^1}{\partial t} &= \{X^2, X^7\}_{MB} + \{X^6, X^3\}_{MB} + \{X^5, X^4\}_{MB} \\
\frac{\partial X^2}{\partial t} &= \{X^7, X^1\}_{MB} + \{X^5, X^3\}_{MB} + \{X^4, X^6\}_{MB} \\
\frac{\partial X^3}{\partial t} &= \{X^1, X^6\}_{MB} + \{X^2, X^5\}_{MB} + \{X^4, X^7\}_{MB} \\
\frac{\partial X^4}{\partial t} &= \{X^1, X^5\}_{MB} + \{X^6, X^2\}_{MB} + \{X^7, X^3\}_{MB} \\
\frac{\partial X^5}{\partial t} &= \{X^4, X^1\}_{MB} + \{X^3, X^2\}_{MB} + \{X^6, X^7\}_{MB} \\
\frac{\partial X^6}{\partial t} &= \{X^3, X^1\}_{MB} + \{X^2, X^4\}_{MB} + \{X^7, X^5\}_{MB} \\
\frac{\partial X^7}{\partial t} &= \{X^1, X^2\}_{MB} + \{X^3, X^4\}_{MB} + \{X^5, X^6\}_{MB}
\end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

The set of matrices B_i can also be used to find a solution to the equations (35). Using the ansatz

$$A_i = i \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi^\dagger(x-y, \tau) B_i \psi(x+y, \tau) e^{2\pi i p y / \lambda} dy \tag{36}$$

where $\psi(x, t)$ are six component wave functions of the form

$$\psi_j = f_j(\tau) \phi(x)_j, \quad j \text{ not summed} \tag{37}$$

we find a rather simple solution in terms of this ansatz of the form

$$\begin{aligned}
\{f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4, f_5, f_6\} &= \\
&\left\{ \frac{2\sqrt{K_1}e^{i\theta_1}}{\sqrt{1-e^{-K_1\tau}}}, \frac{2\sqrt{K_1}e^{i\theta_2}}{\sqrt{e^{K_1\tau}-1}}, \frac{2\sqrt{K_2}e^{i\theta_3}}{\sqrt{1-e^{-K_2\tau}}}, \frac{2\sqrt{K_2}e^{i\theta_4}}{\sqrt{e^{K_2\tau}-1}}, \frac{2\sqrt{K_3}e^{i\theta_5}}{\sqrt{1-e^{-K_3\tau}}}, \frac{2\sqrt{K_3}e^{i\theta_6}}{\sqrt{e^{K_3\tau}-1}} \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

All K_i and θ_j are constants.

The previous solution used the two-dimensional σ matrix representation of $SU(2)$. If instead the three dimensional representation of $SU(2)$, which involves the completely antisymmetric matrices ϵ_{ijk} , is used to construct the

B_i a more general τ dependent solution can be found along similar lines to that in section 3. This time the matrices B_i were taken to be

$$\begin{aligned} B_1 &= -\begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_3 & 0 \\ 0 & \epsilon_3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B_2 = -\begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \epsilon_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B_3 = -\begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_3 & 0 \\ 0 & \epsilon_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B_4 = -\begin{pmatrix} i\epsilon_2 & 0 \\ 0 & \epsilon_3 \end{pmatrix}, \\ B_5 &= -\begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_2 & 0 \\ 0 & i\epsilon_3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B_6 = -\begin{pmatrix} i\epsilon_3 & 0 \\ 0 & \epsilon_1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B_7 = -\begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_2 & 0 \\ 0 & i\epsilon_2 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

where the jk^{th} entry of the matrix ϵ_i is given by the totally antisymmetric tensor ϵ_{ijk} .

The same ansatz (36)(37) was used as before but with the new B_i matrices. The τ dependent functions f_i were found to be of the same form as the solution to the 3d Moyal Nahm equations when solved using ϵ matrices (34). They are as follows

$$\begin{aligned} |f_1|^2 &= 4qk^2 \frac{\text{sn}(q\tau + c)\text{cn}(q\tau + c)}{\text{dn}(q\tau + c)}, \quad |f_4|^2 = 4QK^2 \frac{\text{sn}(Q\tau + b)\text{cn}(Q\tau + b)}{\text{dn}(Q\tau + b)} \\ |f_2|^2 &= -4q \frac{\text{cn}(q\tau + c)\text{dn}(q\tau + c)}{\text{sn}(q\tau + c)}, \quad |f_5|^2 = -4Q \frac{\text{cn}(Q\tau + b)\text{dn}(Q\tau + b)}{\text{sn}(Q\tau + b)} \\ |f_3|^2 &= 4q \frac{\text{dn}(q\tau + c)\text{sn}(q\tau + c)}{\text{cn}(q\tau + c)}, \quad |f_6|^2 = 4Q \frac{\text{dn}(Q\tau + b)\text{sn}(Q\tau + b)}{\text{cn}(Q\tau + b)}. \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

These solutions can be extended for direct sums of more than two ϵ_i matrices.

Acknowledgement

Linda Baker is grateful to EPSRC for a postgraduate research award. David Fairlie wishes to thank Tatsuya Ueno for discussions.

References

- [1] E. Corrigan, C. Devchand, D. Fairlie, and J. Nuyts, *Nucl. Phys.* **B214** (1982) 452.
- [2] R. Dunderer, F. Gursey and C.H. Tze Generalised vector products, duality and octonionic identities in d=8 geometry, *J.Math. Phys.* **25** (1984) 1496-1505.
- [3] R.S. Ward, *Nucl. Phys* **B236** (1984) 381.
- [4] L. Baulieu, H. Kanno and I.M. Singer, Special Quantum Theories in Eight and other Dimensions, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **194** (1988) 149-175.
- [5] M. Claudson and M.B. Halpern, *Nucl.Phys.* **B250** (1985) 689.
- [6] T. Banks, W. Fischler, S. Shenker, and L. Susskind, *Phys. Rev* **D55** (1997), 5112.
- [7] R. Dijkgraaf, E. Verlinde and H. Verlinde, ‘Matrix String Theory’ *Nucl. Phys.* **B500** (1997) 43-61.
- [8] W. Nahm, *Monopoles in Quantum Field Theory*, Eds N.S. Craigie, P. Goddard and W. Nahm, World Scientific (1982) 87-94.
- [9] J. Moyal, *Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* **45** (1949) 99-124.
- [10] F. Bayen, M. Flato, C. Fronsdal, A. Lichnerowicz and D. Sternheimer, *Ann. Phys N.Y.* **111** (1978) 61.
- [11] W. Arveson, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **89** (1983) 77.
- [12] P. Fletcher, *Phys. Lett.* **248** (1990) 323.
- [13] A. Schild, *Phys. Rev.* **D16** (1977) 1722.
T. Eguchi, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **44** (1980) 126.
- [14] D.B. Fairlie, P. Fletcher and C.K. Zachos, Infinite Dimensional Algebras and a Trigonometric Basis for the Classical Lie Algebras, *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, **31** (1990) 1088-1094.

- [15] J. Maldacena, The large N limit of Superconformal Field Theories and Supergravity, *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **2** (1998) 231-252.
- [16] T. Curtright, D.B. Fairlie and C.K. Zachos, Integrable symplectic tri-linear interaction terms for matrix membranes, *Phys. Lett.* **B 405** (1997) 37-44.
- [17] D.B. Fairlie, Moyal Brackets in M-Theory, *Mod. Phys. Lett* **A 13** (1998) 263-274.
D.B. Fairlie, Moyal Brackets, Star Products and the Generalised Wigner Function (1998) **hep-th/9806198**, to appear in special issue of the J. of Chaos, Solitons and Fractals.

Appendix

The following 7 x 7 matrices which solve the Nahm algebra are created using the octonionic structure constants c_{ijk} which are taken to be:

$$c_{127} = c_{631} = c_{541} = c_{532} = c_{246} = c_{347} = c_{567} = 1 \quad (40)$$

These are totally antisymmetric. All other c_{ijk} are zero. The $j^{k^{th}}$ entry of the matrix m_i is given by $[m_i]_{jk} = c_{ijk}$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 m_1 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad m_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\
 m_3 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad m_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\
 m_5 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad m_6 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\
 m_7 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.
 \end{aligned}$$